November 10 2009

Ed Smith
LINES OF THE SUPREME COURT
LISTATEDE MONTANA

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

NO. DA 09-0322

PLAINS GRAINS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Montana limited partnership; PLAINS GRAINS, INC., a Montana corporation; ROBERT E. LASSILA and EARLYNE A. LASSILA; KEVIN D. LASSILA and STEFFANI J. LASSILA; KERRY ANN (LASSILA) FRAZER; DARYLE E. LASSILA and LINDA K. LASSILA; DOROTHY LASSILA; DAN LASSILA; NANCY LASSILA BIRTHWISTLE; CHIRSTOPHER LASSILA; JOSEPH W. KANTOLA and MYRNA R. KANTOLA; KENT HOLTZ; HOLTZ FARMS, INC., a Montana corporation; MEADOWLARK FARMS, a Montana partnership; JON C. KANTOROWICZ and CHARLOTTE KANTOROWICZ; JAMES FELDMAN and COURTNEY FELDMAN; DAVID P. ROEHM and CLAIRE M. ROEHM; DENNIS N. WARD and LaLONNIE WARD; JANNY KINION-MAY; C LAZY J RANCH; CHARLES BUMGARNER and KARLA BUMGARNER; CARL W. MEHMKE and MARTHA MEHMKE: WALTER MEHMKE and ROBIN MEHMKE; LOUISIANA LAND & LIVESTOCK, LLC., a limited liability corporation; GWIN FAMILY TRUST, U/A DATED SEPTMENT 20, 1991; FORDER LAND & CATTLE CO.; WAYNE W. FORDER and DORTHY FORDER; CONN FORDER and JEANINE FORDER: ROBERT E. VIHINEN AND PENNIE VIHINEN; VIOLET VIHINEN; ROBERT E. VIHINEN, TRUSTEE OF ELMER VIHINEN TRUST; JAYBE D. FLOYD and MICHAEL E. LUCKETT, TRUSTEES OF THE JAYBE D. FLOYD LIVING TRUST; ROBERT M. COLEMAN and HELEN A. COLEMAN; GARY OWEN and KAY OWEN; RICHARD W. DOHRMAN and ADELE B. DOHRMAN; CHARLES CHRISTENSEN and YULIYA CHRISTENSEN; WALKER S. SMITH, JR. and TAMMIE LYNEE SMITH; JERMOME R. THILL; and MONTANA ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION CENTER, a Montana nonprofit public benefit corporation,



NOV 1 0 2009

Ed Smith CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF MONTANA

## Appellants,

V.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CASCADE COUNTY, the governing body of the County of Cascade, acting by and through Peggy S. Beltrone, Lance Olson and Joe Briggs,

Appellees,

And

SOUTHERN MONTANA ELECTRIC GENERATION and TRANSMISSION COOPERATIVE, INC.; the ESTATE OF DUANE L. URQUHART; MARY URQUHART; SCOTT URQUHART; and LINDA URQUHART,

Appellees/Cross-Appellants.

From the Montana Eighth Judicial District Court Cause No. BDV-08-480 Honorable E. Wayne Phillips Presiding

NORTHERN PLAINS RESOURCE COUNCIL INC., TROUT UNLIMITED INC., NATIONAL WILDLIFE FEDERATION, MONTANA AUDUBON, THE SIERRA CLUB, CITIZENS FOR CLEAN ENERGY, CITIZENS FOR A BETTER FLATHEAD, KILA-SMITH LAKE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COALITION, INC., GREATER YELLOWSTONE COALITION, MONTANANS AGAINST TOXIC BURNING, AND BITTERROOTERS FOR PLANNING'S PETITION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING LEAVE TO FILE AN AMICUS BRIEF

## **APPEARANCES:**

David K.W. Wilson, Jr. Brenda Lindlief-Hall Reynolds, Motl & Sherwood 401 North Last Chance Gulch Helena, Montana 59601 Ph: (406) 442-3261

Fax: (406) 443-7294

Email: <a href="mailto:kwilson@rmslaw.net">kwilson@rmslaw.net</a>
Attorneys for Amicus Curiae

Jack R. Tuholske Tuholske Law Offices PC P.O. Box 7458 234 E. Pine St. Missoula, MT 59807 Ph: (406) 721-6986

Fax: (406) 721-6986 Fax: (406) 728-8445

Email: <a href="mailto:tuholske@centric.net">tuholske@centric.net</a>
Attorney for Amicus Curiae

Amici Northern Plains Resource Council, Inc., et al. (Northern Plains) respectfully petition the Court pursuant to Rule 20(1)(d) M.R. App. P. to reconsider its order denying leave for these amici to file an amicus brief. The basis for this Petition is that in assessing timeliness, the incorrect date for filing was used.

In its Order denying Northern Plains leave to file an amicus brief, this Court stated its long-standing rule that amicus briefs must be timely filed. See Order

Denying Leave (Nov. 3, 2009). However, the wrong dates to assess timeliness were applied. The dates cited by the Court were for merits briefing. Northern Plains did not seek to participate in merits briefing. Northern Plains sought only to participate in the Motion to Dismiss. Northern Plains timely filed its amicus brief and motion under the rules that this Court applied in concluding that the brief was not timely filed. Northern Plains filed its brief on September 28, one day before the responses to the Motion to Dismiss were due.

By this Court's Order dated August 31, 2009, the response brief to the Motion to Dismiss was due September 29, 2009. See Order Granting Extension of Time (Aug. 3, 2009). Northern Plains' brief was filed on September 28, 2009. Southern Montana Electric (SME) then filed its final reply on October 7, 2009, in which it addressed the arguments raised in Northern Plains' and Plains Grains' amicus briefs. This Court's Order Denying Leave to File states that briefing was

"completed by September 29, 2009." However, that is not correct as to the Motion to Dismiss briefing. The material fact that this Court appears to have inadvertently overlooked is that a separate briefing schedule was set for the Motion to Dismiss, and Northern Plains sought only to participate in that part of the case.

This Court may have confused the proper timing of Northern Plains' brief with that of the other amicus, National Trust for Historic Preservation. Those amici were participating in the merits briefing. However, this Court set a different schedule for briefing the Motion to Dismiss and Northern Plains complied with that schedule. The fact that Northern Plains, by timely filing its motion and brief, complied with the briefing schedule the Court cited for denying the motion for leave constitutes a "fact material to the decision," and "conflicts with a controlling decision not addressed by the supreme court," both grounds for reconsideration. Rule 20(1)(a)(i) and (iii), M. R. App. P.

In summary, the proper briefing schedule to measure the timeliness of Northern Plains' motion was the briefing schedule on the Motion to Dismiss. By that measure, Northern Plains timely filed its brief. Northern Plains recognizes that petitions for reconsideration are not favored. However, the amici here represent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For example, in its brief, Northern Plains stated its "request that this Court deny the motion to dismiss, and clarify that a stay is not necessary for citizen groups like Plains Grains because such a requirement chills their constitutional rights. *Amici do not brief the merits of the zoning appeal.*" Brief at page 2.

tens of thousands of Montanans who ask that their views be considered by this Court in this very important case. The effort invested in briefing the matter, the fact that the brief was timely filed, and the fact that SME had every opportunity to respond constitutes "exceptional circumstances" justifying leave to file the brief under Rule 20(1)(d), M.R. App. P.

Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2009.

David K.W. Wilson

Attorney for Amici Curiae

Ву: \_\_

ack R. Tuholske

んだー Attorney for Amici Curiae

### CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Rule 27 of the Montana Rules of Appellate Procedure, I certify that this Brief is printed with a proportionately spaced Times New Roman text typeface of 14 points; is double spaced; and the word count calculated by Microsoft Word 2004 for Mac is 571, not averaging more than 280 words per page, excluding caption, certificate of compliance, and certificate of service.



### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on November 9, 2009 a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent by U.S. mail, first class postage prepaid, to the following:

Roger Sullivan
John F. Lacey
McGarvey, Heverling, Sullivan &
McGarvey, P.C.
745 South Main
Kalispell, MT 59901

Elizabeth A. Best Best Law Offices, P.C. 425 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue North P.O. Box 2114 Great Falls, MT 59403 Alan F. McCormick Garlington, Lohn & Robinson, PLLP P.O. Box 7909 Missoula, MT 59807

Brian Hopkins
Cascade Deputy County Attorney
121 – 4<sup>th</sup> Street North
Great Falls, MT 59401

Gary M. Zadick Mary K. Jaraczeski Ugrin, Alexander, Zadick & Higgins, PC P.O. Box 1746 Great Falls, MT 59403-1746

